

October 10, 2019 MAB Meeting Agenda

9am- 9:15am	Continental breakfast with muffins, bagels, fruit salad, orange juice, and coffee/tea will be provided, starting at 9am
9:15am- noon	<p>Introductions and arXiv Overview</p> <p>Introductions and welcome - 10 minutes</p> <p>State of arXiv - <u>slides</u> (Oya Y. Rieger, Advisor, Former Program Director) - 20 minutes</p> <p>Moderation Update - <u>slides</u> (Jim Entwood, Interim Program Director, Operations Manager) - 15 minutes</p> <p>SD's Perspectives - Steinn Sigurdsson (Scientific Director) - 15 minutes</p> <p>SAB Update - <u>slides</u> (Sumati Surya, SAB) - 15 minutes</p> <p>IT Update slides - <u>slides</u> (Martin Lessmeister, IT Lead) and arXiv-NG Progress Report (Erick Peirson, Lead Software Architect) - 30 minutes</p> <p>Includes 15-minute break</p>
WORKING LUNCH	<p>Working Lunch</p> <p>1) Working Subgroup Reports: An overview of the outcomes of the <u>arXiv MAB/SAB Subgroups</u> , followed by a group discussion of what's next. One of the discussion items will be extending the Society-arXiv collaboration pilot for another year based on the progress registered this year.</p> <p>2) <u>Archiving arXiv: Long-Term Preservation & Access Plan</u> : Discuss the recommendations and next steps.</p> <p>3) Vacant MAB seats, 1-year renewals, and planning an election process for 2020</p>
1:00- 2:15pm	<p>Preprints in the Age of Big Deal Cancellations and Transformative Agreements</p> <p>Several US and international libraries are scrutinizing the value of their license agreements with mega-publishers like Elsevier and Springer in order to align them with their strategic interests in scholarly communication. The financial pressures inherent in Big Deal agreements are often combined with an interest in using them to fund open access publishing. The number of statements that California's action of canceling their Elsevier Big Deal has garnered exemplifies how attention is currently focused on library-publisher negotiations. <u>What do</u></p>

	<p><u>they reveal</u>? Initiatives such as <u>Invest in Open Infrastructure</u> and Sustainability Coalition for Open Science Services (<u>SCOSS</u>) usher new collaborations for promoting community-based scholarly communication services. What are the potential implications of these developments on preprint services and institutional repositories?</p> <p>Includes 15-minute break</p>
<p>2:30- 3:00pm</p>	<p>MAB Executive Session</p> <p>Executive session without the Cornell team facilitated by an MAB member</p> <p>What are the strengths of the current arXiv sustainability model? What is working well?</p> <p>What are the areas for improvement? How should the Cornell team strengthen the operation from technical, policy, user support, fundraising, and communication perspectives?</p> <p>Has Cornell set the right expectations for the advisory board members? What are the other ways MAB could be involved in advising the Cornell team?</p> <p>Any other input/suggestions you may want to relay to us.</p> <p>Cornell team will join the last 10-15 minutes to hear recommendations.</p> <p>In addition to the MAB group discussion, we'd appreciate the individual members to provide us feedback: <u>arXiv SAB/MAB 2019 Meeting Survey</u></p>
<p>3:00- 3:30pm</p>	<p>Conclusions</p> <p>Review of the meeting outcomes - appointment of subgroups</p> <p>Feedback, questions, recommendations for arXiv team</p>